*Eco. Env. & Cons.* 29 (2) : 2023; pp. (688-692) *Copyright*@ EM International ISSN 0971–765X

DOI No.: http://doi.org/10.53550/EEC.2023.v29i02.022

# Carbon Stock in Biomass of Important Plantations in the Southern Zone of Tamil Nadu, India

A.C. Surya Prabha<sup>1\*</sup>, A. Rajkamal<sup>2</sup>, M. Senthivelu<sup>3</sup> and S. Pragadeesh<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2,4</sup> Scientist D, Silviculture and Forest Management Division, Institute of Forest Genetics and Tree Breeding, Coimbatore 641 002, India
<sup>3</sup>Department of Oilseeds, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, Tamil Nadu, India

(Received 14 October, 2022; Accepted 9 December, 2022)

## ABSTRACT

Plantations are efficient sequesters of carbon and can mitigate the predicted rise in atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration and future climate change. Trees can capture atmospheric  $CO_2$  through photosynthesis and store it in biomass with a turnover time of several decades. The present investigation was undertaken to study the carbon stock in biomass of important plantation species of clonal and seedling origin in the Southern agro-climatic zone of Tamil Nadu. The existing stands of three different ages of a tree plantation were selected from within the available plantations on farmlands, and data on girth and height were recorded for all the trees. The results revealed that the total carbon stocks (537.8 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was maximum under Eucalyptus clonal plantation of >6 years. Aboveground biomass was greater than belowground biomass, accounting for 79% of total biomass in *Casuarina* clonal plantation to 95.5 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> in >7 years old plantation. The findings explain the ability of clonal plantations of *Casuarina* and Eucalyptus in accumulating maximum biomass carbon stock.

Key words: Biomass, Plantations, Carbon stock

#### Introduction

Climate change has been at the center of various international agreements since the 1980s. India has committed to reducing total projected carbon emissions by up to 1 billion tons by 2030 apart from other ambitious climate change targets agreed upon in the recently held COP26 summit in Glasgow. Among different mitigation and adaption options available, trees can play a pivotal role in global carbon flux and help store huge quantities of carbon for a long period of time (Panwar *et al.*, 2022). Globally, plantations are being established at an increasing rate, and now account for 5% of the global forest cover. Also, plantations are efficient sequesters of carbon and can mitigate the predicted rise in atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration and future climate change (Zhang *et al.*, 2012). Biomass is an important carbon pool in forest ecosystems especially tree biomass, including the trunk, branches, foliage, and roots. Most of the total carbon in plantations is stored in aboveground biomass (trunk, branches, foliage) (Aholoukpe *et al.*, 2013). It has been suggested that atmospheric carbon sequestration through increasing the volume of plantation forest lands on the planet is an effective measure for mitigating atmo-

(1\*Scientist-D, <sup>2</sup> Project Assistant, <sup>3</sup>Associate Prof., <sup>4</sup>Technical Assistant)

spheric carbon dioxide (Taylor *et al.*, 2007; Banik *et* al., 2018). Trees can capture atmospheric CO, through photosynthesis and store it in biomass with a turnover time of several decades. Thus, tree plantations play important roles in global C cycling and the uses of tree products can mediate various anthropogenic C releases (Dmitry et al., 2007). Among the various carbon pools, vegetation carbon can be managed with relative ease to reduce atmospheric carbon concentrations. Multiple studies have shown that vegetation's carbon sinks potential could be significantly enhanced by adhering to sustainable forest management principles (Griscom et al., 2017). Interest in biomass studies has increased globally due to its importance as a source of food, energy, and fibre (Wang et al., 2020).

Tree species such as Eucalyptus, Casuarina, Teak, and Melia were selected for the present study, as they are planted on a large scale in India, particularly in Tamil Nadu for pulpwood production, timber, plywood veneer and other end uses and also they are well- accepted plantation species by tree growers. Information on the carbon sequestration potential of these plantations of clonal and seedling origin is not available, particularly in Tamil Nadu. By considering the above facts, the present project was undertaken to study the carbon stock in biomass of important plantation species of clonal and seedling origin in the Southern zone of Tamil Nadu.

#### Materials and Methods

#### Study area

The present study was carried out in the Southern agro-climatic zone of Tamil Nadu. The Southern zone is situated between 8° and 10° 55' North latitude and 77° and 79° 50' East longitude. The southern zone consists of Tirunelveli, Virudhunagar, Ramanathapuram, Thoothukudi, Sivagangai, Madurai (Tirumangalam, Madurai South, Madurai North and Melur taluks) and Dindigul (Natham and Dindigul taluks). The zone receives a mean annual rainfall is 876.4 mm. The maximum temperature ranges between 30.0°C and 37.5°C, while the range of minimum temperature is 20.0°C to 27.0°C. Predominant soil types occurring in this zone are black soil, red soil, deep red loam soil, red sandy soil, lateritic soil, river alluvium and saline coastal alluvium. The plantations selected for the study were Eucalyptus, Casuarina, Melia and Teak.

#### **Measurement of Biometric parameters**

The existing stands of three different ages of a tree plantation were selected from the available plantations on farmlands, and data on girth and height were recorded for all the trees in randomly selected quadrates of 20 x 20 m size. Height of the trees was measured from the ground level to the terminal tip using the Laser Distance Meter and results were expressed in meter. The Girth at Breast Height was measured with a measuring tape at 1.37m aboveground level. Volume of the trees was calculated using the quarter girth formula as follows,

 $V = (g/4)^2 x h$ 

Where, V is the volume (m<sup>3</sup>), g the GBH (m) and h is the height of the tree (m).

#### Aboveground biomass (AGB)

Biomass of trees was calculated by following nondestructive method. Calculated stem volume was converted to biomass by multiplying it with Biomass Expansion Factor (BEF) and wood density as per good practices guidelines provided by Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2003) to obtain aboveground biomass (AGB).

AGB (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)= Volume x Wood density x BEF

#### **Belowground biomass (BGB)**

The Belowground biomass (BGB) was calculated by multiplying the AGB with IPCC default value of 0.26 (IPCC, 2003).

BGB (t  $ha^{-1}$ ) = AGB x 0.26

#### **Total Biomass (TB)**

This was estimated by adding the aboveground biomass and belowground biomass values.

 $TB (t ha^{-1}) = AGB + BGB$ 

#### Estimation of biomass carbon

The carbon sequestered was calculated by multiplying the total biomass by 0.47, which is again an IPCC default value

All statistical tests were performed with SPSS ® 19.0 version statistical software. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the biomass carbon. Duncan's test was performed to separate means if differences were significant (P=0.05).

#### **Results and Discussion**

# Biomass and carbon storage in Eucalyptus plantation

The results of girth and height recorded in Eucalyp-

| tus plantations of seedling and clonal origin                      |
|--|
| is presented in Table 1. GBH, height and                           |
| volume varied significantly among the dif-                         |
| ferent plantations of seedling origin and                          |
| clones. Mean GBH, height and volume were                           |
| found to be 0.219 m, 10.23 m and 0.031 m <sup>3</sup>              |
| tree <sup>-1</sup> , respectively in 1 to 2 years aged plan-       |
| tation, which reached up to 0.558m, 23.52m                         |
| and $0.458 \text{ m}^3 \text{ tree}^{-1}$ in >6 years old clonal   |
| plantation. Our results indicate that clonal                       |
| plantations of Eucalyptus recorded highest                         |
| mean GBH, height and volume. Similar re-                           |
| sults were reported by Srivastav <i>et al.</i> (2020)              |
| where significant variation among clones of                        |
| <i>E. canaldulensis</i> for DBH at the age of 3 years              |
| was observed. The study results revealed                           |
| that the maximum Aboveground biomass                               |
| (870.7 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ). Belowground biomass                  |
| (226.4 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ), and biomass carbon stock             |
| $(515.6 \text{Mg C} \text{ha}^{-1})$ , were recorded in the clonal |
| plantation of >6 years, while minimum val-                         |
| ues of Aboveground biomass (58.5 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ),            |
| Belowground biomass (15.2 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ), and               |
| biomass carbon stock (34.6Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> ) were             |
| recorded in seedling origin plantation of 1 to                     |
| 2 years (Fig. 1). Among the different planta-                      |
| tions of seedling and clonal origin studied,                       |
| clonal plantations registered higher biomass                       |
| carbon stock when compared to plantations                          |
| of seedling origin. The variation in carbon                        |
| stocks may be attributed to age class distri-                      |
| bution (Arora <i>et al.</i> , 2014). Chaturvedi <i>et al.</i>      |
| (2016) stated that an average of 81.89 per-                        |
| cent of the above-ground biomass is contrib-                       |
| uted by (stem, branch, leaves, and litter) and                     |
| 18.11 percent from below-ground biomass                            |
| (roots). Tree biomass constituted a major                          |
| part of the biomass carbon pool and in-                            |
| creased rapidly with plantation age in both                        |
| the above and belowground biomass (Du <i>et</i>                    |
| <i>al.</i> , 2015).  |
|  |

# Biomass and carbon storage in Casuarina plantation

Growth parameters viz, Girth at Breast Height, height and volume increased markedly with stand age (Table 2). The data indicated that, Mean GBH, height and volume varied from 0.130 m, 9.07 m and 0.015 m<sup>3</sup> tree<sup>-1</sup> to 0.638 m, 22.07 m and 0.524 m<sup>3</sup> tree<sup>-1</sup> among the different Casuarina plantations

Table 1. Carbon stock in biomass (Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>) of Eucalyptus plantation in the Southern zone

| Plantation                       | Height<br>(m)                 | (m)                        | Volume<br>(m <sup>3</sup> tree <sup>-1</sup> ) | AGB<br>(Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | BGB<br>(Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Total Biomass<br>(Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Biomass Carbon<br>stock(Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Eucalyptus -1 to 2 years         | $10.23\pm0.09^{a}$            | $0.219\pm0.0001^{a}$       | $0.031\pm0.0001^{a}$                           | 58.5±1.52ª                    | 15.2±0.39 ª                   | 73.7±1.91 ª                             | 34.6±0.89 ª                                     |
| Eucalyptus -4 to 5 years         | $15.00\pm0.17^{\circ}$        | $0.381\pm0.006^{\circ}$    | $0.136\pm0.003^{b}$                            | $258.4\pm7.49^{b}$            | $67.2\pm1.94^{\rm b}$         | $325.6\pm9.44^{\rm b}$                  | $153.0\pm4.43^{\rm b}$                          |
| Eucalyptus - > 6 years           | $20.93\pm0.18^{e}$            | $0.5401\pm.006^{e}$        | $0.412\pm0.01^{d}$                             | 783.1±19.2 <sup>d</sup>       | $203.6\pm4.98^{d}$            | 986.7±24.2 <sup>d</sup>                 | $463.7\pm11.4^{\text{d}}$                       |
| Eucalyptus clone –1 to 2 years   | $12.54\pm0.11^{b}$            | $0.255\pm0.003^{b}$        | $0.051\pm0.0001^{a}$                           | 97.0±2.52ª                    | 25.2±0.65 ª                   | $122.2\pm3.17^{a}$                      | $57.5\pm1.49^{a}$                               |
| Eucalyptus clone-4 to 5 years    | $17.80\pm0.20^{d}$            | $0.429\pm0.003^{d}$        | $0.204\pm0.006^{\circ}$                        | $388.5\pm11.3^{\circ}$        | $101.0\pm 2.92^{\circ}$       | $489.5\pm 14.2^{\circ}$                 | $230.1\pm6.67^{\circ}$                          |
| Eucalyptus clone- >6 years       | $23.52\pm0.21^{f}$            | $0.558\pm0.006^{f}$        | $0.458\pm0.01^{e}$                             | 870.7±22.9 <sup>e</sup>       | 226.4±5.97 °                  | $1097.1\pm 28.9^{\circ}$                | 515.6±13.6 °                                    |
| Table 2. Carbon stock in biomass | (Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> ) of C | asuarina plantatic         | on in the Southern                             | i zone                        |                               |   |   |
| Plantation                       | Height                        | GBH                        | Volume   | AGB                           | BGB                           | Total Biomass                           | Biomass Carbon                                  |
|                                  | (m)                           | (m)                        | $(m^3 \text{ tree}^{-1})$                      | (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )        | (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )        | (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                  | stock(Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> )                   |
| Casuarina -1 to 2 years          | $14.45\pm0.13^{b}$            | $0.130\pm0.0001^{a}$       | $0.015\pm0.003^{a}$                            | 19.5±0.51 ª                   | 5.1±0.13 ª                    | 24.5±0.65ª                              | 11.5±0.31 ª                                     |
| Casuarina -3 to 5 years          | $18.94\pm0.17^{\circ}$        | $0.439\pm0.005$ c          | $0.228\pm0.003^{b}$                            | $292.0\pm2.93^{b}$            | $75.9\pm0.76^{\rm b}$         | $368.0\pm3.69^{\rm b}$                  | $172.9\pm1.73^{b}$                              |
| Casuarina -> 5 years             | $20.68\pm2.84^{\circ}$        | $0.638\pm0.01^{f}$         | $0.524\pm0.07^{\circ}$                         | 672.3±85.1 <sup>d</sup>       | $174.8\pm 22.1^{\circ}$       | 847.1±107.2°                            | $398.2\pm50.4^{\circ}$                          |
| Casuarina clone -1 to 2 years    | 9.07±0.08 ª                   | $0.229\pm0.0001^{b}$       | 0.030±0.0001 ª                                 | 57.4±1.51 <sup>a</sup>        | $14.9\pm0.39^{a}$             | 72.3±1.91 ª                             | $34.0\pm0.89^{a}$                               |
| Casuarina clone-3 to 5 years     | $14.95\pm0.13^{\rm b}$        | $0.458\pm0.001^{\text{d}}$ | $0.196\pm0.003^{\rm b}$                        | $378.1\pm3.79^{\circ}$        | $98.3\pm0.98^{b}$             | $476.4 \pm 4.78$ <sup>b</sup>           | $223.9\pm2.25^{b}$                              |
| Casuarina clone-> 5 years        | 22.07±0.97 °                  | 0.578±0.01 °               | $0.462\pm0.03^{\circ}$                         | 888.6±51.7 °                  | $231.0\pm 13.5$ d             | $1119.6\pm 65.2^{d}$                    | 526.2±30.6 <sup>d</sup>                         |

Eco. Env. & Cons. 29 (2): 2023

of seedling and clonal origin. AGB, BGB, total biomass and biomass carbon stock varied significantly among the different plantations of seedling origin and clones (Fig.1). Aboveground Biomass values were 19.5, 292.0 and 672.3 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 1 to 2 years, 3 to 5 years and > 5 years plantations of seedling origin. Biomass allocation pattern and carbon stock density of the tree layer showed overall higher biomass and carbon storage in clonal plantations of Casuarina compared to seedling origin. Aboveground biomass was greater than Belowground biomass, accounting for 79% of total biomass in Casuarina clonal plantation of > 5 years. The values are comparatively higher than the estimates of 25.94 MT C ha<sup>-1</sup> reported by Ravi et al. (2012).

#### Biomass and carbon storage in Melia plantation

The data on biomass and carbon storage in Melia plantation is given in Table 3. GBH, height and volume varied significantly among the different plantations of Melia. In one to four years old Melia plantation, the mean GBH, height and volume were 0.368 m, 10.47 m and 0.088 m<sup>3</sup> tree<sup>-1</sup>, which reached up to 0.429 m. 14.75 m and 0.169 m<sup>3</sup> tree<sup>-1</sup> in >7 years old plantation. The present study revealed maximum GBH, height and volume in plantations of >7 years old. The aboveground biomass and belowground biomass values in >7 years old plantation were 161.2 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 41.9 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with the aboveground biomass accounting for 79.3% of total biomass. Biomass carbon stock increased from 49.8 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> in 1 to 4 years plantation to 95.5 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> in >7 years old plantation (Fig.1). Carbon accumulated in the Melia plant biomass increased markedly with plantation age. The aboveground biomass and belowground biomass values in >7 years old plantation were



Fig. 1. Biomass Carbon Stock of different plantations of Southern zone

maximum with the aboveground biomass accounting for 79.3% of total biomass. The present estimates of total biomass and biomass carbon stock in Melia plantation, however, fall within the range of those reported by Singh *et al.* (2022).

# Biomass and carbon storage in Teak plantation

Growth parameters viz, Girth at Breast Height, height and volume and carbon stock in biomass are summarized in Table 4. Girth at Breast Height, height and volume significantly differed with stand age. Mean GBH, height and volume were found to be 0.887 m, 16.45 m and 0.809 m<sup>3</sup> tree<sup>-1</sup>, respectively in 5 to 10 years aged plantation, which reached up to 1.093m, 19.51m and 1.453 m<sup>3</sup> tree<sup>-1</sup> in >15 years old teak plantation. In the present study, maximum biomass carbon stock of 656.1 Mg C ha <sup>1</sup>was registered in teak plantations of >15 years (Fig. 1), which was twice the amount stored in plantation of 5 to 10 years (365.0 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>). In the present study, maximum biomass carbon stock was accumulated in teak plantations of >15 years, while plantation of 5 to 10 years old recorded minimum biomass carbon stock. The present estimates of total biomass and biomass carbon stock in Teak plantation, however, fall within the range (223.7 t/ha and 111.86 t/ha) of those reported by Behera and Mohapatra, (2015).

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the

| Table 3. Carbon stock | in biomass (Mg C       | ha <sup>-1</sup> ) of Melia plan | tation in the South       | iern zone              |                        |                        |                                |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Plantation            | Height                 | GBH                              | Volume                    | AGB                    | BGB                    | Total Biomass          | Biomass Carbon                 |
|                       | (m)                    | (m)                              | $(m^3 \text{ tree}^{-1})$ | (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | stock (Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
| Melia -1 to 4 years   | 10.47±0.09 ª           | 0.368±0.004 ª                    | 0.088±0.001 ª             | 84.1±3.73 <sup>a</sup> | 21.9±0.97ª             | 105.9±4.73 ª           | 49.8±2.22 ª                    |
| Melia -5 to 7 years   | $12.96\pm0.11^{\rm b}$ | $0.400\pm0.01^{\rm b}$           | $0.130\pm0.001^{b}$       | $123.4\pm6.24^{\rm b}$ | $32.1\pm1.62^{b}$      | $155.5\pm7.87^{b}$     | $73.1\pm3.69^{b}$              |

Director General, Indian Council of Forestry Research and Education, Dehradun for providing financial support to undertake the project work.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors do not have any conflict of interest to declare.

# References

- Aholoukpe, H., Dubos, B., Flori, A., Deleporte, P., Amadji, G., Chotte, J.L. and Blavet, D. 2013. Estimating aboveground biomass of oil palm: Allometric equations for estimating frond biomass. *Forest Ecology* and Management. 292: 122–129.
- Arora, G., Sumit, C. and Rajesh K. 2014. Growth, biomass, carbon stocks and sequestration in an age series of *Populus deltoides* plantations in Tarai region of Central Himalaya. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry.* 38: 550-560.
- Banik, B., Deb, D., Deb, S. and Datta, B.K. 2018. Assessment of Biomass and Carbon Stock in Sal (*Shorea robusta* Gaertn.) Forests under Two Management Regimes in Tripura, Northeast India. *Journal of Forest and Environmental Science*. 34(3) : 209-223.
- Behera, M.K. and Mohapatra, N.P. 2015. Biomass accumulation and carbon stocks in 13 different clones of Teak (*Tectona grandis* Linn F.) in Odisha, India. *Current World Environment*. 10(3) : 33-38.
- Chaturvedi, O. P., Handa, A. K., Kaushal, R., Uthappa, A. R., Sarvade, S. and Panwar, P. 2016. Biomass production and carbon sequestration through agroforestry. *Range Management and Agroforestry*. 37(2): 116-127.
- Dmitry, R., Pablo, C., Benitezb., Florian, K. and Ian, M. 2007. Geographically explicit global modeling of land-use change, carbon sequestration, and biomass supply. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 74: 1057–1082.
- Du, Hu, Zeng, F, Peng, W, Wang, K, Zhang, H, Liu, Lu, Song, T. 2015. Carbon storage in a Eucalyptus plantation chronosequence in Southern China. *Forests.* 6: 1763-1778.
- Fahey, T.J., Woodbury, P.B., Battles, J.B., Goodale, C.L., Hamburg, S.P., Ollinger, S.V. and Woodall, C.W. 2010. Forest carbon storage: Ecology, Management and Policy. *Frontiers in Ecology and Environment*. 8(5): 245-252.

- Griscom, B.W., Adams, J., Ellis, P.W., Houghton, R.A., Lomax, G., Miteva, D.A., Schlesinger, W.H., Shoch, D., Siikamäki, J.V., Smith, P. and Woodbury, P. 2017. Natural climate solutions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 114 (44) : 11645–11650.
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). 2003. Good practice guidance for land use, land-use change and forestry. Japan, Institute for Global Environment Strategies.
- Panwar, P., Mahalingappa, D.G., Kaushal, R., Bhardwaj, D.r., Chakravarty, S. Shukla, g., Thakur, N.S., Chavan, S.B., Pal, S., Nayak, B.G., Sriivasaiah, H. T., Dharmaraj, R., Veerabadraswamy, N., Apshahana, K., Suresh, C.P.,Kumar, D., Sharma, P., Kakade, V., Nagaraja, M.S., Singh, M., Das, S., Tamang, M., Kanchan, Roy, A.D. and Gurung, T. 2022.Biomass Production and Carbon Sequestration Potential of Different Agroforestry Systems in India: A Critical Review. *Forests*. 13: 1274. https://doi.org/10.3390/ f13081274.
- Ravi, R., Buvaneswaran, C., Saravanan, S. and Jayaraj, R.S.C. 2012. Carbon sequestration potential of Casuarina equisetifolia plantations at harvest age in three agroclimatic zones under three soil types in coastal region of Tamil Nadu. In: *Proceedings of the* 2<sup>nd</sup> Natioinal Seminar on Casuarinas. (Eds). R.S.C. Jayaraj, Rekha R. Warrier, A. Nicodemus and N. Krishna Kumar. Pp.345-354.
- Singh, B.K. Tomar, A., Khan, F.A. and Beauty, K. 2022. Growth, biomass and carbon sequestration of fastgrowing tree species under high-density plantation in Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India. *Current Science*. 122(5): 618-622.
- Srivastav, A, Tomar, A. and Agarwal, Y.K. 2020. Performance of *Eucalyptus* clones in Trans-Ganga region of Uttar Pradesh, India. *Indian Journal of Agroforestry*. 22(1): 43-47.
- Taylor, A.R., Wang, J.R. and Chen, H.Y. 2007. Carbon storage in a chronosequence of red spruce (*Picea rubens*) forests in central Nova Scotia, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*. 37: 2260–2269.
- Wang, C.Y., Deng, X.W., Xiang, W.H. and Yan, W.D. 2020. Calorific value variations in each component and biomass-based energy accumulation of red-heart Chinese fir planta- tions at different ages. *Biomass Bioenergy*. 134.
- Zhang, H, Guan D.S. and Song, M.W. 2012. Biomass and carbon storage of Eucalyptus and Acacia plantations in the Pearl River Delta, South China. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 277: 90–97.