Eco. Env. & Cons. 29 (October Suppl. Issue) : 2023; pp. (S231-S238) Copyright@ EM International ISSN 0971–765X

DOI No.: http://doi.org/10.53550/EEC.2023.v29i05s.040

Tree Species Richness, Population Structure and Regeneration Status along the Disturbance Gradient in Tropical Forests of South Territorial Division, Sikkim, Eastern Himalaya

Prakash Chhetri^{1*}, Anup Chandra¹ and Ghanashyam Sharma²

¹Forest Botany Division, Forest Research Institute, Dehradun, India ²The Mountain Institute India, Gangtok, India

(Received 2 April, 2023; Accepted 30 May, 2023)

ABSTRACT

This study is an attempt to find the impact of disturbances on tree species richness, population structure and regeneration status in the tropical forest of Sikkim. On the basis of Disturbance indices forest were categorised into Highly Disturbed (HD), Moderately Disturbed (MD) and Least Disturbed (LD). While, number of species was considered as species richness in different disturbance gradient, population structure was determined using density diameter relation. Similarly, regeneration status was seen based on the density of seedling, sapling and adult tree species along the disturbance gradient. Species richness was highest (29) at LD sites and lowest in HD (24) and 25 in MD. Population structure of the forest across the sites were normal with densities of seedling>sapling>adult, but densities in all growth form were higher in LD followed by MD and HD. Seedlings of Shorea robusta, Schima wallichi and Tectona grandis dominated the forest floor, comprising of 85% of all the seedling density. Although most of the species regenerated better in LD sites, species like Schima wallichii, Tectona grandis, Terminalia bellirica and Lagerstroemia parviflora had better seedling densities in MD sites. LD sites showed highest percentage of good (31 %), fair (17 %) and new (20 %) regeneration. Highest percentage of poor regeneration was observed in HD (27) sites followed by MD (23) and LD (11) while 29 % of the species were not regenerating and MD sites followed by HD (27) and LD (20). This study concludes that the anthropogenic disturbance is detrimental for species richness, population structure and regeneration of tree species yet moderate disturbances may be beneficial for regeneration of few selected species.

Key words: Anthropogenic pressure, Forest disturbance, Tropical forest, Regeneration, Territorial forest

Introduction

Various ecological and anthropogenic factors determine the forest structure (Dolezal and Srutek, 2002). People in the Himalayan region have lived in close proximity with the forest since very long and has been dependent on them for sustenance of life. Due to the rise in population the demand for the forest resource has also increased many folds in recent times, which alter the forest composition in various ways. With the increase in population pressure, natural and socioeconomic systems in the Himalaya are at threat, especially with reference to rapid globalization (Roy and Rathore, 2019). Understanding the structure and composition of native forests is a prerequisite in developing an adaptive forest management plan for Himalayan forest ecosystems where climate change is rapid (Bhutiya *et al.*, 2019). Population demography is crucial to understand current species distribution patterns (Bell *et al.*, 2013) and to predict their future dynamics (Pagel and Schurr 2012; Normand *et al.*, 2014). In fact, the population structure (age or size) of tree species is a wellknown way to provide valuable information about the population dynamics (Dang *et al.*, 2010; Dolanc *et al.*, 2013), and regeneration is considered the determinant factor driving population dynamics at the range limits (Rickebusch *et al.*, 2007) because the regenerating individuals of long lived trees are most sensitive or fragile to environment variability (Castro *et al.*, 2004).

According to Sundrival and Sharma (1996) less than 50 % of tree species in the forest of Mamlay watershed, South Sikkim are regenerating. Those regenerating are secondary species and are less preferred for daily use by local people for fuelwood, fodder and timber purpose. Bhutia et al. (2019) reported lower density of large size trees in lower elevation in forest of Sikkim and disparity in size class distribution among forest along altitudinal gradient. Popradit et al. (2015) reports that due to the anthropogenic factors plant diversity reduces linearly towards the village boundary, which caused a loss of individual density because of severe declines in small saplings compared with adult trees and large saplings in proximity to the village. Present study describes the population structure and regeneration status of tree species in sal dominated tropical forest of south Sikkim.

Materials and Methods

Study area

This study was conducted in the tropical forest of south territorial forest division of Sikkim state of India in eastern Himalaya. Study area is dotted with the patches of reserve forests and a wildlife sanctuary. Total reserve forest in study area is 27.6 km² spread along the tropical belt in fragments of varying sizes with a total population of 38548 persons from 13 different Gram Panchayat Units (Census of India, 2011; SBFP, 2015). Average livestock holding per family in the study area is 3.69 while average land holding is 1.67 acre per family (VDAP). The study sites were selected at the radius of 2000 m in three fringe villages viz. Aambotey, Danak and

Eco. Env. & Cons. 29 (October Suppl. Issue) : 2023

Panchgharey and the elevation of the effective study area ranges from 250m to 700 m. Study sites have been categorised into Highly disturbed (HD), Moderately Disturbed (MD) and Least Disturbed (LD) based on the degree of disturbances at different distance i.e. 500 m, 1000 m, and 2000 m from the village fringe (Table 1). Degree of disturbance was assessed based on the site characteristics of following parameter (i) tree density (ha⁻¹), (ii) cut stump density (ha⁻¹) ¹), (iii) canopy cover (%) and (iv) goat droppings and cow dung density (ha⁻¹) following Mishra et al. (2004) and Bhat et al. (2012). Forest type of the study area is East Himalayan Sal Forest and East Himalayan Moist Mixed Deciduous forest. The average annual rainfall for ten year period from 2011 to 2020 of the South District Sikkim is 2179.6 mm, of which 71-72% is received during monsoon period i.e. June to September (IMD). Maximum temperature of the study area in summer month reach upto 35°C while minimum of winter temperature is 6 °C (Pradhan, 2007).

 Table 1. Disturbance parameter used for categorizing the sites.

| LD | MD | HD |
|----|---------------------------|--|
| 33 | 53 | 97 |
| 50 | 47 | 63 |
| 7 | 10 | 17 |
| 76 | 67 | 54 |
| | LD 33 50 7 76 | LD MD 33 53 50 47 7 10 76 67 |

Sampling

Phyto-sociological data of the trees species were collected using quadrat method. 30 quadrat each of size 10 x 10 m, 5 x 5 m and 1 x 1 m were placed randomly for adult trees (>30 cm GBH), sapling (11-30 cm) and seedlings (1-10 cm) respectively at each sites (Curtis and McIntosh, 1950; Phillips, 1959; Saxena et al., 1984). Tree GBH (Girth at Breast Height) was measured using measuring tape at 1.37 m from base. Sum of GBH of individual species was used to calculate Total Basal Area (TBA). To know the complete structure and composition of the forest, the quantitative parameters frequency, density, TBC, and importance value index (IVI) were calculated following Cottam and Curtis (1956). Using the IVI value Shannon-Wiener diversity index (Shannon and Weaver, 1963), and evenness (Pielou 1966) for each sites were determined. To understand the population structure and regeneration pattern, GBH classes were established on the basis of range of

CHHETRI ET AL

available data. The density of trees, saplings, and seedlings was calculated for each sites to verify the regeneration status. Different regeneration categories (fair, good, not, new, and poor) were created to assess the regeneration status of each species. Regeneration of tree species was determined based on population size of seedlings, saplings, and adults and categorized as per Dutta and Devi (2013) modified from Khan et al. (1987), Shankar (2001), and Khumbongmayum et al., (2006). The categories identified were: (i) Good: if seedling > sapling > adults; (ii) Fair: if seedlings > or \leq saplings \leq adults or seed $lings \le saplings > adults or seedlings \ge saplings and$ the species had no adults, (iii) Poor: if a species survives only in the sapling stage, but no seedlings (though saplings may be <, >, or = adults) (iv) None: if it is absent both in seedling and sapling stages but found only in adults and (v) New: if the species has no adult, but only saplings or seedlings.

Results

2.5

2.4

Index Value 5.7 5.7

2.1

2

LD

Species composition and phyto-sociology

A total of 41 species in 26 families were recorded along the disturbance gradient. With respect to species richness, maximum number of tree species (29 species, 19 families) was recorded in LD, followed by 25 species in 17 families in MD and 24 species in 17 families in HD sites. Frequency, density and IVI were found highest for *Shorea robusta* in the entire disturbance gradient. Phyto-sociological parameter of each species is given in Table 2.

Highest index of diversity (Shannon-Wiener) was shown by LD followed by MD and least in HD; while species were even in MD followed by LD and HD (Figure 1).

Population Structure

Size class based density of tree species along with seedlings and saplings showed that the density of trees decreased with the increase in size class and stayed more or less stable at the higher diameter class. Density of adult trees decreased drastically as compared to seedling and sapling represented. Marked difference in seedlings, saplings and lower diameter class trees along disturbance gradient, with HD sites having lowest densities in different size class is observed. LD has the highest seedling sapling and overall density among all the sites (Figure 2).

Population structure of individual species along the disturbance gradient showed that *Shorea robusta*,



Fig. 2. Density diameter distribution of all species in study area (Density of seedling reduced by 100 folds and sapling by 10 folds to fit proportionately in the graph).



Fig. 1. Diversity and Evenness index of trees in different disturbance gradient

| Table 2. Frequer | cv, density | ⁷ and IVI c | of each species | across disturbance | gradient |
|------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------|
| | - / / | | | | 0 |

| Species | | Frequency | , | | Density | | | IVI | |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|------|---------|------|--------|-------|--------|
| | LD | MD | HD | LD | MD | HD | LD | MD | HD |
| Ailanthus integrifolia | 10.00 | 3.33 | 6.67 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 6.75 | 2.47 | 3.85 |
| Albizia procera | 10.00 | | | 0.10 | | | 4.88 | | |
| Alstonia scholaris | 10.00 | | 6.67 | 0.13 | | 0.10 | 4.38 | | 2.81 |
| Amoora wallichi | 6.67 | | | 0.07 | | | 2.40 | | |
| Artocarpus lacoocha | 6.67 | | | 0.10 | | | 3.04 | | |
| Bischofia javanica | | 6.67 | 6.67 | | 0.07 | 0.07 | | 4.61 | 2.65 |
| Bombax ceiba | 6.67 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 3.35 | 8.75 | 7.65 |
| Bridelia retus | | 3.33 | | | 0.03 | | | 1.25 | |
| Chukrasia tabularis | 13.33 | | 10.00 | 0.13 | | 0.17 | 5.59 | | 5.23 |
| Dillenia indica | | 6.67 | | | 0.07 | | | 2.86 | |
| Diploknema butyracea | 3.33 | 6.67 | | 0.03 | 0.07 | | 1.32 | 3.18 | |
| Duabanga grandiflora | 3.33 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 2.19 | 8.40 | 8.62 |
| Ficus benghalensis | 3.33 | | | 0.03 | | | 2.66 | | |
| Ficus religiosa | 3.33 | | | 0.03 | | | 1.86 | | |
| Ficus semicordata | | 13.33 | 13.33 | | 0.17 | 0.17 | | 6.00 | 5.39 |
| Garuga pinnata | 16.67 | 6.67 | | 0.17 | 0.07 | | 6.20 | 2.58 | |
| Grewia optiva | | | 13.33 | | | 0.23 | | | 6.09 |
| Gmelina arborea | | 10.00 | | | 0.10 | | | 4.39 | |
| Lagerstroemia parviflora | 10.00 | 36.67 | 23.33 | 0.10 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 3.73 | 15.85 | 8.76 |
| Litsea monopetala | | 3.33 | 16.67 | | 0.03 | 0.20 | | 1.59 | 6.63 |
| Mallotus philipinensis | | | 10.00 | | | 0.10 | | | 3.51 |
| Macaranga denticulata | 20.00 | 13.33 | | 0.57 | 0.47 | | 12.65 | 11.00 | |
| Oroxylum indicum | | 3.33 | 6.67 | | 0.07 | 0.10 | | 1.97 | 3.19 |
| Phyllanthus embellica | 3.33 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 1.34 | 3.80 | 3.70 |
| Pandanus fruticosa | | | 10.00 | | | 0.13 | | | 4.01 |
| Pinus roxburghii | 13.33 | | | 0.53 | | | 13.75 | | |
| Pterospermum acerifolium | 10.00 | | | 0.13 | | | 4.38 | | |
| Schima wallichii | 36.67 | 50.00 | 33.33 | 0.50 | 0.73 | 0.47 | 18.74 | 29.14 | 16.78 |
| Semecarpus anacardium | | 10.00 | | | 0.13 | | | 4.43 | |
| Shorea robusta | 100.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 3.80 | 2.87 | 3.83 | 112.31 | 98.21 | 126.14 |
| Sterculia villosa | 3.33 | | 3.33 | 0.03 | | 0.03 | 1.36 | | 1.47 |
| Syzygium kurzii | 3.33 | | | 0.07 | | | 1.88 | | |
| Tectona grandis | 56.67 | 66.67 | 70.00 | 1.03 | 1.30 | 1.60 | 33.04 | 48.25 | 44.25 |
| Termianlia myriocarpa | 6.67 | 13.33 | | 0.13 | 0.17 | | 5.56 | 10.87 | |
| Terminalia bellirica | 23.33 | 13.33 | 30.00 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 11.19 | 9.37 | 15.29 |
| Terminalia chebula | 20.00 | 13.33 | 10.00 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 10.48 | 9.62 | 4.51 |
| Terminalia crenata | 3.33 | | | 0.07 | | | 1.91 | | |
| Terminalia tomentosa | | 3.33 | 13.33 | | 0.03 | 0.17 | | 3.17 | 5.82 |
| Tetrameles nudiflora | 10.00 | | 6.67 | 0.20 | | 0.07 | 4.63 | | 6.27 |
| Toona ciliata | 23.33 | 6.67 | 6.67 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 10.60 | 4.35 | 3.31 |
| Toxicodendron wallichii | 20.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 7.84 | 3.88 | 4.08 |

Tectona grandis and *Schima wallichii* has the normal population structure while that of *Terminalia chebula*, *Terminalia, bellirica, Lagerstroemia grandiflora, Bombax ceiba* and *Pinus roxburghii* showed skewed pattern. All life stages density of *Shorea robusta* increased from high to low disturbance gradient, while *Tectona grandis* and *Schiima walichii* were found performing better in moderately disturbed sites. *Terminalia bellirica* regenerates better in MD sites while *Terminalia chebula* regenerates better in LD sites, buts fails to get established as there is an absence of sapling of *T. bellirica* across all sites and *T. chebula* in LD. *Lagerstroemia parviflora* was found regenerating only in MD sites. There is a complete lack of regeneration in *Duabanga grandiflora* across all sites; trees are present in middle size class with highest density in MD and HD and lowest in LD site. *Pinus roxburghii* is present only in LD sites with seedling and adult





Fig. 3. Density diameter relation, along disturbance gradient, of representative tree species of study area. In Y axis: Density (Stem ha⁻¹); In x axis: Girth Class {1: 1-10 cm (Seedlings: reduced 100 times for *Shorea robusta* and 10 times for rest); 2: 11-30 cm (Saplings: reduced 10 times for *Shorea robusta*); 3: 31-60 cm; 4: 61-90 cm; 5: 91-120 cm; 6: 121-150 cm; 7: 151-180 cm; 8: 181-210 cm; 9: >210 cm}.

population and devoid of saplings. Population of *Bombax ceiba* is normally distributed in LD sites, seedlings absent in MD and both seedling and saplings are absent in HD sites (Figure 3).

Regeneration Status

In general seedling density is highest followed by sapling and adult trees across disturbance gradient (Figure 4). Densities of seedling, sapling and adult trees are highest in LD sites followed by MD and HD. 31% of the species in LD sites have good regeneration while it is 16% and 19% in MD and HD sites. 54 % of the species in HD, 52 % in MD and 31% in LD sites have poor or no regeneration. 15, 16 and 17 % of the species have fair regeneration, while 12, 16



Fig. 4. Variation in density of seedling, sapling and adult tree species in study sites. Seedling density reduced 10 folds.

Eco. Env. & Cons. 29 (October Suppl. Issue) : 2023

and 20 % regeneration are new in HD, MD and LD sites respectively (Figure 5). Regeneration status of Individual species across sites is given in table 3. On an average 85 % of all the regeneration in all the sites are dominated by three species i.e. *Shorea robusta, Tectona grandis* and *Schima wallichii*.



Fig. 5. Proportion of different regeneration status across sites.

Discussion

Maintenance of healthy forest is vital for its continued existence and service to mankind and ecosystem at large. Healthy forest implies composition, population structure and the regeneration status of species within a forest. Therefore this study tried to acknowledge the impact on composition, structure and regeneration behaviour of the tropical forest of Sikkim in Eastern Himalaya along disturbance gradient. In present study 41 tree species of size >30 cm GBH from 0.9 ha is recorded which is quite high than that from sal forest of Assam (25 trees in 1.2 ha) as reported by Deka et al., 2012; while lesser than (76) reported by Shankar, 2001 from the Mahananda Wildlife Sanctuary which could be due to larger sampling size. Species richness, diversity and evenness decreased with the increasing disturbances. Shannon-Wiener diversity index ranged from 2.27 in HD to 2.5 in LD sites which are lower than the moist sal bearing forest of North Bengal (3.1) i.e. adjacent to present study site as reported by Kushwaha and Nandy, 2012. Trees in MD showed highest (0.76) evenness followed by LD (0.75) and HD (0.72). Population structure of all the tree species revealed that the population of seedling is highest followed by sapling and adult across site which is considered to be the indicator of good population structure with fairly good regeneration status (Teketay 1997, Tesfaye et al., 2010). However, this

| Species | LD | MD | HD |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|
| Ailanthes integrifolia | New | Fair | Good |
| Albizia procera | Good | New | - |
| Alstonia scholaris | None | - | Poor |
| Amoora wallichi | Good | - | - |
| Artocarpus lacoocha | Fair | New | New |
| Bischofia javanica | New | None | - |
| Bombax ceiba | Good | Poor | None |
| Bridelia retus | - | None | New |
| Chukrasia tabularis | None | New | Poor |
| Dillenia indica | - | None | - |
| Diploknema butyracea | Poor | None | |
| Duabanga grandiflora | None | None | None |
| Ficus benghalensis | None | | |
| Ficus religiosa | None | | |
| Ficus semicordata | New | Fair | Good |
| Garuga pinnata | Poor | None | |
| Gmelina arborea | New | Poor | |
| Grewia optiva | | Poor | Poor |
| Lagerstroemia parviflora | Good | Good | Poor |
| Litsea monopetala | New | Fair | Fair |
| Macaranga denticulata | Poor | Good | New |
| Mallotus philipinensis | New | New | Good |
| Oroxylon indicum | | None | None |
| Pandanus fruticosa | | | Poor |
| Phyllanthus embellica | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| Pinus roxburghii | Fair | | |
| Pterospermum acerifolium | Poor | | |
| Schima wallichii | Good | Good | Good |
| Semecarpus anacardium | New | None | |
| Shorea robusta | Good | Good | Good |
| Sterculia villosa | Fair | | Poor |
| Syzygium kurzii | Good | New | |
| Tectona grandis | Good | Good | Fair |
| Termianlia myriocarpa | Good | Poor | |
| Terminalia bellirica | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| Terminalia chebula | Fair | Poor | Poor |
| Terminalia crenata | None | | |
| Terminalia tomentosa | | None | None |
| Tetrameles nudiflora | None | | None |
| Toona ciliata | Good | Poor | None |
| Toxicodendron wallichii | Good | Poor | None |

 Table 3. Regeneration status of individual species across sites

shape is due to the very high percentage of regeneration concentrated to three species, i.e. *Shorea robusta, Tectona grandis* and *Schima wallichii*. These three species contribute 85% of all the regeneration across sites. Population structure of some of the representative species of the study area showed that *Shorea robusta* regenerated profusely across all sites but highest density of seedling sapling and adult was in LD followed by MD and HD suggesting that the disturbance has a negative impact on regeneration and density. Similarly Tectona grandis had a good population structure with density of seedling>sapling>adult across sites, but highest density of seedling and sapling was observed in MD sites, meaning moderate level of disturbance good is better for regeneration of Tectona grandis which could be due to the canopy gaps allowing lights to forest floor. Similarly density of Schima wallichii seedling was better in MD and HD sites as compared to LD, which which is in line with the study by Dani and Baniya, 2022 from foothills of central India. While Terminalia bellirica and Lagerstroemia *parviflora* showed good regeneration in MD sites, Terminalia chebula, Pinus roxburghii and Bombax ceiba were regenerating better in LD sites. Duabanga grandiflora lacked seedling and sapling across site. Disturbance was also seen impacting regeneration status of tree species as the percentage of species having good regeneration was highest in LD sites while poor or none combined was highest in HD sites. New regeneration was seen in all the sites with the highest percentage in LD followed by MD and HD Sites. It implies that there is as such no considerable difference in diversity and evenness along the disturbance gradient, but there is a marked difference in population structure and regeneration status between the species in a site and among the sites.

Conclusion

This study concludes that the disturbance in the form of resource utilization by local community impacts the composition, population and regeneration of the tree species. Through the selection of species for utilization by community higher disturbance is seen near the human settlement and least away from the settlement. Higher the disturbance lesser is the diversity and density trees in all stages of growth. Though some species were favoured by the moderate disturbance for regeneration, overall population structure is hampered by the degree of disturbances. Further dominance by seedling of Shorea robusta, Tectona grandis and Schima wallichi may lead to changed composition of tropical moist mixed forest in future, for which regeneration of other representative species could be worked on.

References

Bell, D.M., Bradford, J.B. and Lauenroth, W.K. 2014. Mountain landscapes offer few opportunities for

Eco. Env. & Cons. 29 (October Suppl. Issue) : 2023

high-elevation tree species migration. *Global Change Biology*. 20(5): 1441-144451.

- Bhat, J.A., Negi, K.M., Ajeet, K. and Todaria, N.P. 2012. Anthropogenic pressure along altitudinal gradient in a protected area of Garhwal Himalaya, India. J. Environ. Res. Develop. 7(1): 62-65.
- Bhutia, Y., Gudasalamani, R., Ganesan, R. and Saha, S. 2019. Assessing forest structure and composition along the altitudinal gradient in the state of Sikkim, Eastern Himalayas, India. *Forests*. 10(8): 633.
- Castro, J., Zamora, R., Hódar, J.A. and Gómez, J.M. 2004. Seedling establishment of a boreal tree species (*Pinus sylvestris*) at its southernmost distribution limit: consequences of being in a marginal Mediterranean habitat. *Journal of Ecology*. 1: 266-277.
- Census of India, 2011. District Census handbook, Primary census abstract. Directorate of census operations, Sikkim.
- Dang, H., Zhang, Y., Zhang, K., Jiang, M. and Zhang, Q. 2010. Age structure and regeneration of subalpine fir (*Abies fargesii*) forests across an altitudinal range in the Qinling Mountains, China. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 259(3): 547-554.
- Dani, R.S. and Baniya, C.B. 2022. Tree Species Diversity and Regenerating Potential along Disturbance Gradient in Chandragiri Hill, Kathmandu, Central Nepal. *Journal of Institute of Science and Technology*. 27(2): 109-124.
- Deka, J., Tripathi, O.P. and Khan, M.L. 2012. High dominance of Shorea robusta Gaertn. in alluvial plain Kamrup sal forest of Assam, NE India. *International Journal of Ecosystem*. 2(4): 67-73.
- Dolanc, C.R., Thorne, J.H. and Safford, H.D. 2013. Widespread shifts in the demographic structure of subalpine forests in the Sierra Nevada, California, 1934 to 2007. *Global Ecol. and Biogeography*. 22(3): 264-276.
- Dole•al, J. and Šrùtek, M. 2002. Altitudinal changes in composition and structure of mountain-temperate vegetation: a case study from the Western Carpathians. *Plant Ecology*. 158: 201-21.
- Dutta, G. and Devi, A. 2013. Plant diversity, population structure, and regeneration status in disturbed tropical forests in Assam, northeast India. *Journal of Forestry Research*. 24(4): 715-720.
- India Meteorological Department, Ministry of Earth Science, Govt. of India. URL: http://hydro. imd.gov. in/hydrometweb/(S(uw4ptqehyw3huc452eqfpi 55))/DistrictRaifall.aspx
- Khan, M.L., Rai, J.P.N. and Tripathi, R.S. 1987. Population structure of some tree species in disturbed and protected subtropical forests of north-east India. *ACTA OECOL.* (*OECOL. APPL.*). 8(3): 247-255.
- Khumbongmayum, A.D., Khan, M.L. and Tripathi, R.S. 2006. Biodiversity conservation in sacred groves of Manipur, northeast India: population structure and

regeneration status of woody species. *Human Exploitation and Biodiversity Conservation*. 99-116.

- Kushwaha, S.P.S. and Nandy, S. 2012. Species diversity and community structure in sal (*Shorea robusta*) forests of two different rainfall regimes in West Bengal, India. *Biodiversity and Conservation*. 21: 1215-1228.
- Misra, R. 1968. Ecology Workbook. Scientific publishers.
- Normand. S., Zimmermann, N. E., Schurr, F. M. and Lischke, H. 2014. Demography as the basis for understanding and predicting range dynamics. *Ecography*. 37(12): 1149-1154.
- Pagel, J. and Schurr, F.M. 2012. Forecasting species ranges by statistical estimation of ecological niches and spatial population dynamics. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*. 21(2): 293-304.
- Pielou, E.C. 1966. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. 13:131-144.
- Popradit, A., Srisatit, T., Kiratiprayoon, S., Yoshimura, J., Ishida, A., Shiyomi, M., Murayama, T., Chantaranothai, P., Outtaranakorn, S. and Phromma, I. 2015. Anthropogenic effects on a tropical forest according to the distance from human settlements. *Scientific Reports*. 5(1): 14689.
- Pradhan, N. 2007. Orchids of Sikkim, University of Kalyani.
- Roy, A. and Rathore, P. 2019. Western Himalayan forests in climate change scenario. In: Navalgund R., Kumar A., Nandy S., editors. *Remote sensing of northwest Himalayan ecosystems*. Singapore: Springer. p. 265–286.
- Sahoo, U. K. 2010. Population dynamics of Schima wallichii in an undisturbed vs a disturbed tropical forest stand of North-East India. *International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences*. 36(2/3): 157-165.
- Saxena, A.K., Singh, S.P. and Singh, J.S. 1984. Population structure of forests of Kumaun Himalaya: implications for management. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 19(4): 307-324.
- Shankar, U. 2001. A case study of high tree diversity in a Sal (*Shorea robusta*)-dominated lowland forest of Eastern Himalaya: floristic composition, regeneration and conservation. *Curr Sci.* 81: 776–786.
- Sundriyal, R.C. and Sharma, E. 1996. Anthropogenic pressure on tree structure and biomass in the temperate forest of Mamlay watershed in Sikkim. *Forest Ecol*ogy and Management. 81(1-3): 113-134.
- Teketay, D. 1997. Seedling populations and regeneration of woody species in dry Afromontane forests of Ethiopia. *For Ecol Manage.* 98(2): 149-165.
- Tesfaye, G., Teketay, D., Fetene, M. and Beck, E. 2010. Regeneration of seven indigenous tree species in a dry Afromontane forest, Southern Ethiopia, floramorphology, distribution. *Func Ecol Plants.* 205(2): 135-143.